JS - 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SACV 12-1903 JVS	(MLGx)	Date	November 15, 2012	
Title	OWB REO, LLC v. 0	Catalina Garcia			
Present: Tl Honorable		elna			
Karla J. Tunis			Not Present		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter		
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
	Not Present		Not Pre	esent	
			anding Action to the Superior Court of the ifornia for the County of Orange		
41 G .	On November 2, 20	·	cia ("Garcia") remo		

the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange. (Docket No. 1.) She removed this action on the basis of federal question jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. § 1331. (Notice of Removal, pp. 3-4.)

The Court has reviewed the jurisdictional allegations and has considered other possible bases for jurisdiction in light of the facts pled in the Complaint and the Notice of Removal. The Court finds no basis for jurisdiction, and now remands the case.

The Court must determine jurisdiction on the basis of the case removed. The underlying action is an unlawful detainer action. No federal claims are asserted (28 U.S.C. § 1331). Federal defenses or federal counterclaims provide no basis to remove an action which does not otherwise establish federal jurisdiction. See Franchise Tax Board of State of Cal. v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 643 U.S. 1, 10 (1983); Metro Ford Truck Sales, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 145 F.3d 320, 326-27 (5th Cir. 1998). There is no basis for federal question jurisdiction.

_____It is unclear whether the parties are of diverse citizenship, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), because the record does not indicate the citizenship of the members

JS - 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	SACV 12-1903 JVS (MLGx)	Date	November 15, 2012
Title	OWB REO, LLC v. Catalina Garcia		
relief soug Complaint	Reo, LLC. ¹ (Complaint, ¶¶ 1-2.) In any event, it is a ght is less than the jurisdictional minimum of \$75,000 states that the amount of claimed damages is less that iversity jurisdiction.	. The	face of the
County of	The case is remanded to the Superior Court of the Sorange for lack of jurisdiction.	State o	of California for the
county of	orange for fact of jurisdiction.		
	Initials of Preparer	kjt	

¹Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006); <u>Cosgrove v. Bartolotta</u>, 150 F.3d 729, 731 (7th Cir. 1998); <u>Keith v. Black Diamond Advisors, Inc.</u>, 48 F. Supp. 2d 326, 329-30 (S.D. N.Y. 1999).